

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

tion	PATENT COOPE		ATY HILLING
slati	Po	CT	
anslation inter	RNATIONAL PRELIMIN	ARY EXAMINA	ATION REPORT
	(PCT Article	36 and Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	TION See Notific	cation of Transmittal of Interna Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA
International application No. PCT/FR2003/001800	International filing dat		Priority date (day/month/year) 14 juin 2002 (14.06.2002
International Patent Classification (<u> </u>
G01K 13/02			
Applicant	THA	LES	•
amended and are the 70.16 and Section 6 These annexes cons 3. This report contains indicated and are the 70.16 and Section 6 I Basis of the Priority Annexes are the Priority Annexes and the Priority Annexes are the Pri	e basis for this report and/or shee 07 of the Administrative Instruct ist of a total of	is containing rectifications under the PCT). Therets. In a novelty, inventive statement Therefore the period of	on, claims and/or drawings which have ations made before this Authority (see the pand industrial applicability inventive step or industrial applicability
Date of submission of the demand	l	Date of completion	of this report
04 décembre 20	03 (04.12.2003)	21 .	January 2004 (21.01.2004)
Name and mailing address of the	IPEA/EP	Authorized officer	



International application No.

PCT/FR2003/001800

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I. Basis of the report							
1. With r	egard to	the elements of the international application:*					
		rnational application as originally filed					
	the desc	cription:					
		1_A , as originally filed					
	pages	, med with the definition					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
	the clai						
	pages	as originally filed					
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19					
·	pages						
ļ	pages	, filed with the letter of					
	the dra	•					
		, as originally filed					
	pages	, med with the demand					
ł	pages	, filed with the letter of					
I ┌┐.	the gagn	ence listing part of the description:					
L'	_	, as originally filed					
	pages pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages						
the in Thes	 With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	conta	ained in the international application in written form. together with the international application in computer readable form. ished subsequently to this Authority in written form.					
	The inter	statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the national application as filed has been furnished. Statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has					
4.		amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/fig					
5.	ل− beyo	report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go and the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
in	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16						
** An	y replac	cement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FR 03/01800

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to citations and explanations supporting such statement	novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	Citations and explanations supporting	

	citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement			•		
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-5	YES		
	Novelly (11)	Claims		NO NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-5	YES		
		Claims		NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-5	YES		
		Claims		NO		

Citations and explanations

- The invention relates to a total temperature measurement probe for aircraft (claim 1) and to a method for determining temperature using said probe (claim 4).
- 2. Measuring the total temperature for an aircraft is well-known. However, when the flow rate is low or equal to zero, the measurement is influenced by various factors, such as the heating required for defrosting and solar radiation, which increase the probe temperature to a level higher than that of the surrounding atmosphere.

The prior art attempts to avoid these drawbacks by mounting the probe in an area protected from the sun, under the fuselage, for example. An attempt has also been made to generate forced convection around the measuring component of the probe. As is clear from pages 1 to 2 of the description, these solutions are not suitable, since they are very costly or may lead to aerodynamic disturbance.

3. FR-A-2 802 647 (which is considered the closest prior art) describes the use of a total temperature

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

measurement probe for aircraft, comprising a base with an outer surface intended to be mounted in a manner substantially coplanar with the skin of the aircraft, and a shaft extending from the base and carrying an active portion of the probe. As is explained on page 1 of the description, certain measurement errors can be corrected, but it is not suggested that the temperature rise caused by solar radiation should be taken into account.

- 4. The probe according to the invention, as defined in claim 1, differs from that of said document in that it comprises, in addition, a plurality of temperature sensors arranged on the outer surface of the base and distributed about the shaft. The features of claim 1 are neither known from nor suggested by the prior art, and avoid the drawbacks mentioned above. Therefore, independent claim 1 meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3). The subject matter of claim 1 also meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(4).
 - 5. The use of said probe as defined in claim 4 and the subject matter of dependent claims 2, 3 and 5 also meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(2)-(4).